The Mission City Council met in regular session at Mission City Hall on Wednesday, February 21, 2018. The meeting was called to order by Mayor Appletoft. The following councilmembers were present: Ken Davis, Sollie Flora, Kristin Inman, Debbie Kring, Pat Quinn, Arcie Rothrock, Nick Schlossmacher, and Hillary Thomas.

REVISED AGENDA

Mayor Appletoft stated that the agenda has been revised to reflect the need for an executive session.

Moved by Davis, seconded by Kring to approve the revised agenda as printed. There was no discussion on this item. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

There were no special presentations.

CONSENT AGENDA

Moved by Kring, seconded by Quinn to approve the Consent Agenda, items 3a through 3f.

- 3a. Minutes of the January 17, 2018 City Council Meeting
- 3b. Surplus Property Resolution
- 3c. Replacement of Police Vehicle
- 3d. Contract for Arborist Consultant
- 3e. Purchase of MFAC Lounge Chairs
- 3f. 2018-2019 Nuisance Abatement Contractor

Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

ACTION ITEMS PLANNING COMMISSION

Martway Mixed Use Development Preliminary Site Plan, 6005-6045 Martway

Mayor Appletoft stated that Ms. Sitzman will provide an overview of this project, Christian Arnold, developer, will make a presentation of the proposed project, and there will then be an opportunity for comments from the public.

Ms. Sitzman stated that the proposed site of the Martway Mixed-Use Apartments currently has three small office buildings on it with approximately 34,000 sq. ft. total on a 1.8 acre lot. These buildings are from the 1960's. The site was plated into three lots and Christian Arnold recently purchased the property. Mr. Arnold is proposing a 4-story building containing apartments and retail space. The lowest level will be parking with a small amount of retail/office and three stories of apartments above. This property is in the Downtown District and the building would be a concrete podium with parking on the ground floor since it would be in the floodplain. The upper floors would contain 117 apartments (approximately 116,000 sq. ft.). Two public hearings have been held on this project and the Planning Commission recently recommended approval with a variety of conditions. She noted that this is a preliminary site plan and that there will be additional engineering review and additional comments from staff as they move closer to a final plan. This proposed site plan was recommended for approval by the Planning Commission with conditions 1-10 as presented and additional staff conditions.

Mr. Arnold presented a powerpoint presentation of his proposed project, which included:

- Changing neighborhoods that reflect growth in households and housing preferences from 2010-2040. Only 10% of people live in neighborhoods where they are able to walk to work or shops. Infill development will help meet this need, and increased density will help support businesses.
- Critical mass enough residents and visitors are needed to support retail and services. This project brings increased density, although less than the Mission Trails apartment project.
- A recent poll by the Shawnee Mission Post showed that there was "great support" for this project, even when it was proposed at a greater height. Since that time, one full floor has been eliminated. He stated that he has been working with staff since June 2016 on this project.
- The project would be on a unique site and the current code allows for deviations. This project would be close to Andersen Park and the outdoor pool, Rock Creek

Trail, and the Community Center. Due to the location near residential to the south, he is proposing a "Class A" building.

- The site is in the floodplain and there is currently a TIF in place. The building would be raised elevation to stay out of the floodplain and allow fire department access. He stated that the southwest corner o the building would be 76 ft. from the property line of the nearest residential property. This is more than is required and he feels this is a good buffer. He expressed his desire to work with residents in the area.
- There is a grade change at this location and with the 25-30 ft. change in elevation, he feels this minimizes the height impact of the building.
- Specific information on the project was presented: First floor office space with 166 parking spaces provided (161 required) and upper floors residential, one and two bedroom apartments.
- The elevations of the project were described with an overall height of 54-56 ft. He stated that the recently approved Mission Trails apartment project is higher than this. He also presented information on composite views looking various directions, and the complimentary scale of this proposed project with that of Mission Square and Mission Trails. He stated that the scale from 61st street would only be slightly taller than the homes along that street.
- Information on other projects by Clockwork Development were also presented and he noted that this project would be similar in nature and quality. He again stressed that this project will have a "Class A building and residents."

Mayor Appletoft opened the floor to public comments.

Aaron Wingert, Mission resident (61st Street), stated that he has lived in his home for 28 years and that it was his grandparents home so he has a great connection to the neighborhood. He supports the right to develop apartments, but is not excited and can not support this project as as proposed. His concerns include the effect apartments in general will have on residential property values in the area (discussed specific data indicating it could be lowered by up to 13.8% - nationwide average), Mission's rental percentage which he does not want to see increase, and the proposed variances/deviations, particularly height and setbacks. He feels this building would tower over the park, is a departure from City ordinances, uses the park and Rock Creek for a setback, and should allow for the access of emergency vehicles by conventional means. He also expressed his concerns with the elimination of trees ("insult to injury") and the density variance allowing for additional apartments, including "micro apartments," that he feels will deteriorate in 10-15 years. The developer chose to purchase this property and he does not feel it is government's role to take a sympathetic

position to his inability to build within the current code. He feels this is granting a privilege for profitability. He is concerned with what could be built on the current Barn Players site if this project moves forward. He asked that Council remand this proposed preliminary site plan back to the Planning Commission.

Mary Ann Martens, Mission resident (61st Street), stated that she has lived in the neighborhood since 1993. Growth and sustainability are very important, but she is concerned with the number of rental units in the City. She discussed the current number of rental units and those that will be added with the Gateway and Mission Trails projects. She feels this will result in a ratio of 60/40 percent of rental v. owned. She questioned how much density is too much, and asked if business owners are asking for additional apartments for their employees. Ms. Martens expressed her concerns with the deviation regarding setbacks, and feels more consideration should be given to current residents. This proposed apartment building would be built next to Mission's "most used park" with no screening. Rock creek is a "drainage ditch" that quickly fills in a rain event and this project should meet the requirements for greenspace due to increased chances of flooding. She wants the project to adhere to current codes regarding density, height, greenspace, and parking for residents and quests.

Sarah Flogel, Mission resident (61st Street), stated that she is new to Mission and lives east of Woodson, but will also be affected by this development. She feels this area is a "sweet spot" in Johnson County, a "millenials dream," and stated that they love their quiet street, visits to the park and pool. She feels this proposed project is a grandiose plan for a small lot that will change the city-scape. She also expressed her concerns for increased traffic in the area. She encouraged responsible development and feels this project will devastate a highly desirable area.

Jennifer Coleman-Richardson, Mission resident (61st Street), stated that her back yard backs up to the proposed project. She discussed her family's decision to move to their current home and noted that if apartments would have already been built there, they would not have considered the house. She stated that apartments are "great," but these will "hulk over her backyard." She also expressed her concern with a path from the park to the apartments stating that she does not want the playground to become the apartment playground. She feels this project will adversely affect property values and that many people in the area want it to stay the same as it is currently.

Dan Aldrich, Mission resident (61st Street), thanked all for coming to express their opinions this evening. He stated that Council has been provided a copy of their petition in opposition to this development, which includes signatures from 100% of households

on 61st Street, Lamar to Outlook. He discussed the relationship between residents and Council and feels approval of this project would damage the relationship between residents and Council and Planning Commission. He discussed the code and the "business mentality" in residential areas. Setbacks are in place for a reason and he feels there are opportunities for this project to work in a different way or at another location. He would like for Council to consider looking at options to make this site greenspace. He expressed his concerns with protecting the park, potential overflow parking, setbacks, and the height of the building. He feels the increased noise, light pollution, and density of the project next to a park and homes is not a good use. He asked Council to not set a precedent by approving this project.

Vicky Aldrich, Mission resident (61st Street), stated that they have lived in their home for 27 years and she wanted to clarify previous comments regarding the survey. She stated that only the facts were presented when asking for signatures on the petition, that they could have obtained more signature but chose not to, and that 100% of the households between Woodson and Lamar signed the petition. She supports the City "staying within our codes."

Mary Horvatin, Leawood resident and Mission business owner, stated that she owns Yoga Fix on Johnson Drive and has previously lived in a home with a similar situation. At that location, an AMC theater and Target were built, which she stated was a "nightmare" and there was a great deal of light pollution. She feels the project should be scaled back. Businesses want more business and she described Mission as unique with a small geography and great community feel. She would hate to see this lost. She also expressed her concerns with "micro apartments." She stated that she is impartial, and asked that Council not reject the project outright but, rather, work with the developer.

Brad Gregory, Mission resident (61st Street), stated that he lives across the street from the pool and that others "have said it all." He does not feel people are opposed to development, but wants it done according to our codes. Our codes are in place to protect residents from this type of development and he is "outraged" with the Planning Commission as he does not feel these are just "deviations," but are adding two times the number of people as allowed by code. We should respect the developers of the city and our history, and he expressed his fears about the height precedent being set. He stated that the developer knew what he was buying when he bought the site.

William Wilson, Mission resident (61st Street), stated that he has recently completed some renovations to his property, and is now concerned that if this project is approved

he will be looking at a parking lot and trash containers. He feels the building is too tall and is concerned with the potential for trash in the creek, light pollution, and increased traffic. He feels the property owner knew what he was buying and the residents should not have to "pay for that in the long run."

Kevin Fullerton, Mission resident and business owner, appeared on behalf of the Mission Business Partnership that is in favor of this development. He stated that the City needs to grow, and because we can't grow out, we need to grow up and increase density. He discussed millennials desire for apartments and the benefits to helping build a vibrant downtown. They feel this project is good for Mission as a whole, and will help to add to the business community and add taxpayers to the City. With regard to the requested deviations, Mr. Fullerton stated that this is a planned district and it is meant to have deviations. These areas need flexibility and this project will bring additional value to a site in the floodplain. If the developer is willing to develop, then we should be supportive. If we want this project moved, then he questioned where that would be. He stated that he hopes Council will consider approving this project.

There being no further comments, Mayor Appletoft closed the public comments for this item.

Moved by Davis, seconded by Rothrock to remand Case #17-08 to the Planning Commission for the reconsideration of the height, density, and setback deviations within the Code. Councilmember Davis asked for clarification on the greenspace along Rock Creek between Beverly and Woodson that is included in the Comprehensive Plan. Ms. Sitzman stated that there were a variety of studies done leading to the Comprehensive Plan. One potential solution was leaving the creek in its natural state and adding greenspace to allow for flooding. This study was used when developing the Comprehensive Plan for this medium density area. It was not parcel specific and some parcels were considered for medium density use, not greenspace. Over the years, Council looked at other engineering studies, including not daylighting the creek. She stated that the Comprehensive Plan is somewhat out of date, which is not uncommon. Councilmember Davis also asked for clarification on the area of the tracts included in calculations for density. Ms. Sitzman stated that she believes an error was made in the land area included, but these calculations were recalculated with the additional small area included and there is very little different in the results. This new calculation does not change what the Planning Commission considered.

Councilmember Flora asked for additional information on additional open spaces and where these would be. Ms. Sitzman stated that there would be buffer strips, but not

useable greenspace. She noted that the code states where "reasonably possible" and that in a planned district you look at where these could be in the plan if appropriate space allows.

Councilmember Davis asked Mr. Arnold for clarification of the Planning Commission minutes describing the elevation of the project. Mr. Arnold described the clearance required for fire access, and noted that he worked with Fire Marshal Todd Kerkhoff of Consolidated Fire District #2. Discussion continued on whether the increased elevation of the parking structure was required for fire truck access or to accommodate the 9 ft. office building ceiling and the required duct work/electrical for the building. Mr Arnold stated that the increase began with the floodplain issues, but grew as the project developed. Councilmember Davis also discussed the rear access to the Mission Trails project, and whether a turn-around area for this project would eliminate the need for setback. He stated that Mr. Arnold's height argument seems to be contingent on fire access requirements, but if there was a setback, there would be room for a fire lane behind the building. Mayor Appletoft stated that fire codes are not driving the design of this building.

Councilmember Davis asked Mr. Arnold if following the public comments at this meeting whether he would prefer to withdraw his application or have this remanded back to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Arnold provided the following clarifications to previous comments and questions:

- He feels there is opposition to apartments in general and discussed the stigma with rental. He noted that many residents are renters by choice, including millennials and seniors. Many residents want to stay in their community, but without yardwork.
- Homes are not always the best investment, which results in more renters by choice.
- This project will be a "class A project" with higher rental rates.
- If he were to reduce the density of the project, there would not be parking on the first level, and the project would have lower rents.
- The cost of the podium is \$2.8 million and the unit cost has increased from \$16,000 to \$21,000 to cover the cost of this.
- An alternate to the current project would be a "walk-up" apartment complex that would not be suitable for many seniors as it would not include elevators.

- This project does not include "micro apartments," which are usually considered to be around 300 sq. ft. (allowed in Mission). The proposed project does have studio apartments at approximately 500 sq. ft.
- The setbacks on the project are exceeded on one side of the project by three times (76 ft.) which is closest to a home. He stated that he thought this was a good plan to work with the neighbors. By extending the setback on the side closest to the home he pushed the other side closer to the creek. He stated that he could move the building 50 ft. closer to the residential lot.
- This project adds 20 trees in back, and there are two large ones in front.
- This project will not work if it is two stories of apartments on top of parking. He
 wanted to elevate the quality of the structure by using steele rather than wood
 frame construction. He stated that he could come back with a three story, ground
 level project with lower density and no elevator, but that is not what he wants to
 do.

Councilmember Quinn thanked all who attended the meeting and noted the "spirit of the community." He discussed the original planners of the City and stated that if great variances are needed, the code should first be changed. He applauded Mr. Fullerton's remarks on behalf of the businesses, and understands the desire for growth and density, but feels this project at this location requires too many variances and should be sent back to the Planning Commission.

Councilmember Flora stated that she supports sending this project back to the Planning Commission. She does not necessarily think the deviations need to be removed, but she would like to have the Planning Commission "take another look" and would like greater evidence presented.

Councilmember Kring asked if pervious v. impervious parking lot surface has been considered for the project. Mr. Arnold stated that it has not as pervious surface makes the most sense on exposed lots. Most of the rain water will fall on the roof of this project. Councilmember Kring also stated that she supports the residents and their opinions, and questioned the possibility of this project being buillt at another location in the city.

Mr. Arnold stated that Mission is a great community and he felt that his substantial investment would be well received. He understands the residents concerns with the height of the building and appreciates their suggestion of the project being built elsewhere and this site becoming greenspace, but someone would need to purchase this property from him. He has been working on this project for the past 18 months.

Councilmember Schlossmacher referenced the motion on the table and stated that he is not as concerned with density. He does have concerns with the height of the building and the setbacks.

Moved by Schlossmacher, seconded by Flora to amend the original motion to remove the density consideration from the remand of the Martway Mixed Use Development Project back to the Planning Commission for reconsideration. Councilmember Davis stated that he believes if the plan is modified (height, etc.) this will also modify the density issue. The question was called on the amendment to the original motion. Voting AYE: Schlossmacher. NAY: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Thomas. Motion failed.

Councilmember Davis stated that he feels the standard must be more stringent in residential boundary areas. Councilmember Flora again stated that she would just like for the Planning Commission to take a closer look at the proposed project and deviations. Councilmember Thomas thanked those attending this meeting, and stated that she drove the area as suggested by residents at the committee meeting. She does not believe enough evidence has been provided that this project will not adversely affect residential properties in the neighborhood.

<u>The question was called on the original motion.</u> Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Thomas. NAY: Schlossmacher. <u>Motion carried.</u>

Special Use Permit, 5655 Broadmoor Street

Ms. Sitzman provided background information on the proposed special use permit for off-site surface parking at 5655 Broadmoor. The property was purchased in 2015 by Mission Towers for additional off-site parking. Due to zoning of the property, a special use permit is required for this use. A site plan has been submitted which includes sidewalk improvements and a new crosswalk, street trees and landscaping, and bollard lighting. They will also remove any nuisances currently on site, such as the basketball hoop. The privacy fence on the east side of the lot will remain. The Planning Commission recommends approval of the special use permit with the following conditions:

- 1. Limit the use of the property to the parking of vehicles to support the daily employee parking needs of 5700 Broadmoor Street.
- 2. Require that the on-site and off-site improvements as detailed in the submitted site plans be substantially completed no later than November 1, 2018.

- 3. Require the platting of the property for the dedication of right-of-way be completed prior to the issuance of any permits for improvements.
- 4. The final location of the crosswalk and sidewalk is to be coordinated with City Staff.

Councilmember Quinn asked if there is a term limit for this special use permit. Ms. Sitzman stated that it will run with the use of the property, but there are ways to revoke it if necessary (i.e., November deadline for substantially completing project).

Councilmember Flora requested information on "change of conditions" and how this affects the special use permit. Ms. Sitzman stated that if conditions change and it is no longer an appropriate use, then the permit could be revoked. She provided the example of a donation center which over time was no longer a compatible use so City Council took action to revoke the special use permit.

Moved by Kring, seconded by Quinn to uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission for approval of the Special Use Permit for 5655 Broadmoor Street with the conditions noted, and adopt an ordinance authorizing certain property within the City of Mission, Kansas to be used for or occupied by a special use. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Magazine / Holiday Adoption Program Coordination

Councilmember Schlossmacher reported that Mission has several programs and projects that distinguish us from other cities not only in Johnson County, but throughout the metro area. These include the Mission Magazine and Holiday Adoption Programs. Suzie Gibbs was instrumental in the formation of both, and during her tenure as a City Councilmember, assumed the primary responsibility for their management, coordination, and promotion. Ms. Gibbs has expressed her willingness to continue to serve in a similar capacity, ensuring the City is able to maintain consistency and continuity for these programs, and to assist in their transition. A job description has been developed for this position, which will be compensated in the amount of \$250 per month to account for time, travel, and other expenses associated with program administration. This position will be considered an independent contractor.

Moved by Schlossmacher, seconded by Davis to approve a contract with Suzie Gibbs to manage, document, and prepare to transition the coordination of the Mission

Magazine and the Holiday Adoption Programs. Councilmember Kring asked if there is a term on this contract with Ms. Gibbs. Mayor Appletoft stated that there is the ability for either party to terminate the contract with a 30-day notice. He also stated that there will be the expectation for an annual report on the programs and if the person in the position does not live up to expectations, the contract could be reconsidered at that time. Councilmember Flora stated that she would like to ensure the transition process is included as an expectation for this position as it is not specifically listed in the job description. Mayor Appletoft stated that it is not included in the job description as this will be used going forward for anyone with this position, but noted that the need to assist with transitioning the programs has been included in the Action Item for this item as well as the motion. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

2018 Farmers Market Schedule

Councilmember Inman reported that last November, Council held a work session to review the market's performance for the 2017 season. Vendor and customer attendance in 2017 was similar to previous years, but lower than expectations established at the beginning of 2017. Growth of the market has been slower than anticipated due to a variety of reasons, including the availability of home delivery and local produce in grocery stores, competition with other Saturday markets and other weekend obligations, the availability of home delivery and CSA's, and a relatively lower number of vendors compared to other markets. Discussion at the November work session included the possibility of moving the market to Thursday evenings. This was again discussed at the January and February committee meetings, and the committee also expressed a desire to include more food trucks and possibly a beer garden at a Thursday evening market, 4:30-8:00 p.m. from June through September. Following formal approval of the new market schedule for 2018, staff will move ahead with recruitment of vendors and publicize the new market schedule.

Moved by Inman, seconded by Kring to approve the schedule for the 2018 Mission Farm and Flower Market for Thursdays, June through September, from 4:30 - 8:00 p.m. Councilmember Thomas stated that she wants the market to succeed, but expressed her concerns with the lack of secured vendors. She enjoys the Saturday market and hopes that there may be some impromptu events at the market site on Saturdays in the future. Councilmember Schlossmacher stated that he also enjoys the Saturday market, but understands the concerns with continuing on this day. He does not want the market

to fold and feels moving the market to Thursdays is "worth a shot." Adjustments to the schedule can be made next year if necessary. Councilmember Davis stated that he too will miss the Saturday market, but noted that some residents he has spoken with are very excited about Thursday, and noted that a local artist has already approached staff about participating. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

NEW BUSINESS

Selection of Council Vice President

Mayor Appletoft stated that with recent changes to the Governing Body, there are several positions that need to be filled. These include Council Vice President, and committee vice chair positions.

<u>Councilmember Davis nominated Councilmember Quinn</u> to serve as Council Vice President. Councilmember Quinn currently serves as Council President so the motion was withdrawn.

<u>Councilmember Kring nominated Councilmember Inman</u> to serve as Council Vice President with a term expiring April 2019. Councilmember Thomas seconded the nomination.

<u>Councilmember Quinn nominated Councilmember Kring</u> to serve as Council Vice President with a term expiring April 2019. Councilmember Rothrock seconded the nomination. Councilmember Kring declined the nomination. <u>Councilmember Quinn</u> withdrew his motion with the consent of Councilmember Rothrock.

The question was called on the nomination of Councilmember Iman to serve as Council Vice President with a term expiring April 2019. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

Selection of Finance & Administration Committee Vice Chairperson

<u>Councilmember Schlossmacher nominated Councilmember Davis</u> for the position of Finance & Administration Committee Vice Chairperson with a term expiring May 2018. Councilmember Quinn seconded the nomination. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. <u>Motion carried.</u>

<u>Selection of Community Development Committee Vice Chairperson</u>

<u>Councilmember Inman nominated Councilmember Flora</u> for the position of Community Development Committee Vice Chairperson with a term expiring May 2018. Councilmember Schlossmacher seconded the nomination. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. <u>Motion carried.</u>

COMMENTS FROM THE CITY COUNCIL

Councilmember Quinn announced that there will be a Ward I meeting on March 1 at 7:00 p.m. at the Community Center. All were invited to attend.

Councilmember Thomas thanked Mr. Belger and the Public Works staff for their efforts in clearing roads after the recent snow/ice events.

MAYOR'S REPORT Appointments

City Treasurer

Mayor Appletoft stated that Don Chamblin recently retired after serving as Treasurer for many years. He put before Council the appointment of Debbie Long as City Treasurer.

Moved by Davis, seconded by Kring to uphold the appointment of Debbie Long as City Treasurer. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

Planning Commission

Mayor Appletoft put before Council the appointment of Pete Christiansen, Ward IV, to the Planning Commission with a term on December 31, 2019.

<u>Moved by Davis, seconded by Thomas</u> to uphold the appointment of Pete Christiansen to the Planning Commission with a term expiring December 31, 2019. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

Parks, Recreation & Tree Commission

Mayor Appletoft put before Council the appointment of Amy Burkes, Ward IV to the Parks, Recreation & Tree Commission with a term expiring December 31, 2019.

<u>Moved by Davis, seconded by Rothrock</u> to uphold the appointment of Amy Burkes to the Parks, Recreation & Tree Commission with a term expiring December 31, 2019. Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. **Motion carried.**

CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Ms. Smith stated that there are no meetings scheduled for next Wednesday evening, and encourage all those wanting to attend the upcoming KOMA/KORA training session presented by the District Attorney's Office to RSVP to Ms. Sumrall.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

<u>Moved by Quinn, seconded by Kring</u> to adjourn to executive session to discuss current litigation pursuant to the exception for consultation with an attorney on matters deemed privileged, K.S.A. 75-4319(b)(2). Also attending will be City Administrator Laura Smith and City Attorney David Martin. The open meeting will resume in Council Chambers at 9:15 p.m. (15 minutes). Voting AYE: Davis, Flora, Inman, Kring, Quinn, Rothrock, Schlossmacher, Thomas. <u>Motion carried.</u>

Council adjourned to executive session at 9:02 p.m.

Council reconvened in Council Chambers at 9:17 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

<u>Moved by Quinn, seconded by Kring</u> to adjourn the meeting at 9:18 p.m. All present voted AYE. <u>Motion carried.</u>

Respectfully submitted by Martha Sumrall, City Clerk.

Ronald E. Appletoft, Mayor	

Martha M. Sumrall. City Clerk	